



Depth Psychology with Specialization in Jungian Psychology and Archetypal Studies (M.A./Ph.D.) Fact Sheet

Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)

PLO 1: Demonstrate ability to apply key concepts and approaches in depth psychology.

PLO 2: Critically analyze theoretical approaches in depth psychology.

PLO 3: Conduct research that makes an original scholarly contribution.

PLO 4: Demonstrate depth psychological sensibility in working with archetypes, symbols, images, and symptoms as applied to both personal and collective experience.

PLO 5: Evaluate Jungian and archetypal studies as a field in relation to other disciplines and to historical and cultural contexts.

PLO 6: Demonstrate the capacity for structured thought, and the clear articulation and persuasive communication of depth psychological theories and perspectives.

PLO 7: Analyze Jungian and archetypal responses to 21st century conditions, including globalization, ethical concerns, diversity, and multiple ways of knowing.

Table 1. Faculty-to-Student Ratio 2022-23

Total FTE Faculty*	7.5
Total FTE Students**	72.5
Faculty/Student Ratio	1:9.7

* Full-time Equivalent (FTE) was calculated by dividing the total credit hours for the academic year by 18 (the number of credit hours/full-time contract).

** Full-time Equivalent (FTE). Part-time students counted as 0.5 FTE and full-time students counted as 1.0 FTE.

- In the 2022-23 academic year, the faculty-to-student ratio was approximately one faculty member for every ten students in coursework (Table 1).

Table 2. Attrition and Persistence Counts by Matriculation Year

		Matriculation Year (Academic Year)					Total
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
Attrition	AW/AD	16	8	10	3	2	39
	W	10	12	7	3	9	41
	Total	26	20	17	6	11	80
Persistence	Graduated	4	0	0	0	0	4
	Still In Program	15	15	11	9	37	87
	Total	19	15	11	9	37	91
Enrollment		45	35	28	15	48	171



Table 3. Attrition and Persistence Rates by Matriculation Year

		Matriculation Year (Academic Year)					Total
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
Attrition	AW/AD	36%	23%	36%	20%	4%	22%
	W	22%	34%	25%	20%	19%	25%
	Overall	58%	57%	61%	40%	23%	48%
Persistence	Graduated	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	Still In Program	33%	43%	39%	60%	73%	50%
	Overall	42%	43%	39%	60%	73%	52%
Enrollment		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- As of September 2, 2022, 80 (48%) of the 171 students who started the program between the academic years 2016-17 and 2020-21 left the program without completing it (Tables 2 & 3). Among students who started in the academic year 2020-21, 11 out of 48 students (23%) left the program by the beginning of the third year.
- Of the 45 students who started in 2016-17, four (9%) graduated and 15 (33%) were still in the program.

Table 4. Graduation Rates, Count, and Time to Ph.D. Completion

Graduation Rate		
Matriculation Year	Cohort Count	Percentage
2014-15	30	33.3%
2015-16	32	28.1%

Time to Completion		
Graduation Year	Graduate Count	Years
2020-21	14	7.1
2021-22	14	7.0

- Of the students who started in the 2014-15 academic year, 33.3% graduated, and of those who started in the 2015-16 academic year, 28.1% graduated by the spring of 2023 (Table 4).
- Students who completed the programs in the 2020-21 to 2021-22 academic years took just over 7 years on average to complete their Ph.D. (Table 4).



Table 5: 2020-21 Course Evaluation Results

% of Maximum Score	Fall 2020 (10 Courses)	Winter 2021 (10 Courses)	Spring 2021 (11 Courses)	Summer 2021 (12 Courses)
The instructor respected the ideas and opinions of others.	98%	98%	95%	96%
The instructor was reasonably accessible either in person, by phone, or by e-mail.	96%	99%	93%	96%
The instructor is knowledgeable about the topic.	98%	100%	97%	99%
The instructor provided clear feedback on assignments or discussions.	94%	97%	93%	94%
The instructor was adequately prepared to teach the course.	97%	100%	94%	98%
The instructor was skillful at maintaining focus throughout the course.	96%	100%	92%	95%
The instructor was passionate about the course and materials.	98%	100%	98%	98%
The course encouraged reflection on cross-cultural material, multi-cultural perspectives, global issues, or community issues.	89%	96%	88%	93%
The course encouraged me to think creatively or more deeply about the topic.	96%	100%	97%	98%
Response Rate	59%	36%	49%	40%
Number of Evaluations	72	40	67	56

- Course evaluations for the academic year 2021-22 were very positive on all dimensions with ratings ranging between 92% and 100% of the maximum score, except for one item (Table 5).
- The item, “The course encouraged reflection on cross-cultural material, multi-cultural perspectives, global issues, or community issues” scored below 90% in the Fall 2020 and Spring 2021 terms.
- The quarterly response rate ranged between 36% and 59% each quarter.

Glossary

Term	Definition
Academic Disqualification (AD)	Academic disqualification refers to a student being disqualified for academic reasons.
Administrative Withdrawal (AW)	An administrative withdrawal occurs after a program time limit has expired, or a student leave of absence has expired and the student has been inactive for more than a quarter.
Attrition	This category includes students administratively withdrawn or academically disqualified.
Persistence	This category combines students who graduated and those who are still enrolled in the program at a “census date” (specified snapshot date).
Still In Program	This category includes students in the coursework or dissertation phase. Students who are on leave of absence are considered still in the program.
Withdrawal (W)	This status indicates a student’s voluntary withdrawal.